REVIEW

Open Access

Current status of inherited pancreatic cancer



Marek Olakowski^{1*} and Łukasz Bułdak²

Abstract

Background: It is estimated that about 10% of pancreatic cancer cases have a genetic background. People with a familial predisposition to pancreatic cancer can be divided into 2 groups. The first is termed hereditary pancreatic cancer, which occurs in individuals with a known hereditary cancer syndrome caused by germline single gene mutations (e.g., *BRCA1/2, CDKN2A*). The second is considered as familial pancreatic cancer, which is associated with several genetic factors responsible for the more common development of pancreatic cancer in certain families, but the precise single gene mutation has not been found.

Aim: This review summarizes the current state of knowledge regarding the risk of pancreatic cancer development in hereditary pancreatic cancer and familial pancreatic cancer patients. Furthermore, it gathers the latest recommendations from the three major organizations dealing with the prevention of pancreatic cancer in high-risk groups and explores recent guidelines of scientific societies on screening for pancreatic cancers in individuals at risk for hereditary or familial pancreatic cancer.

Conclusions: In order to improve patients' outcomes, authors of current guidelines recommend early and intensive screening in patients with pancreatic cancer resulting from genetic background. The screening should be performed in excellence centers. The scope, extent and cost-effectiveness of such interventions requires further studies.

Keywords: Pancreatic cancer, Hereditary syndromes, Familial pancreatic cancer, Mutation, Screening

Introduction

Recent epidemiological data show that pancreatic cancer (PC) incidence is still rising, especially in high- and very high-income countries, reaching 495,773 new cases worldwide in 2020 [1]. This translates into the incidence rate of PC around 5.7 cases per 100,000 people in males and 4.1 cases per 100,000 people in females. What-ismore, the incidence of new cases is nearly in parallel with the death toll in 2020 attaining 466,003 patients. Risk factors for developing PC can be divided into two categories: nonhereditary, which are dependent on lifestyle and environmental impact on the human body; and hereditary, which are connected with the genetic background in an individual [2]. Nowadays, it is estimated

*Correspondence: olakom@mp.pl

¹ Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Medical University of Silesia, Medyków 14, 40-752 Katowice, Poland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

that approximately 10% of PC cases are connected with genetic factors [3]. PC may occur in individuals diagnosed with hereditary cancer syndromes caused by germline gene mutations (e.g., BRCA1/2, CDNK2A/p16). Those cases are responsible for 3% of all PC and have been denoted as hereditary pancreatic cancer (HPC) group. Another 7% of patients with PC have a significant family history (≥ 2 first-degree relatives with PC). In most of these families, the germline mutation responsible for the development of PC is unknown or does not cause hereditary cancer syndrome. Individuals from such families have been categorized into the familial pancreatic cancer (FPC) group [4]. Interestingly, recent data published by the Pancreatic Cancer Case-Control Consortium (PanC4) showed that the heritability of PC might be more than twice as high as previously calculated, reaching 21.2% [5]. The importance of such findings is reflected by guidelines prepared by the European Registry of Hereditary Pancreatitis and Familial Pancreatic



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Cancer (EUROPAC) (Table 1), which provide clinical features of PC that raise suspicion of hereditary or familial origin. Patients suspected to have a potential germline mutation should be referred for genetic counseling [6]. This is an essential step in the introduction of specific genetic testing and prophylactic measures in these cases.

Genetic syndromes associated with HPC Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC)

HBOC is caused by germline loss-of-function mutations in one of the two tumor suppressor genes BRCA1 and BRCA2. The product of each of these genes interacts with recombination/DNA repair proteins in pathways that are involved in maintaining intact chromosome structure and thus play an important role in the supervision of cell division. Loss of their function results in genomic instability and promotes cancer development [7]. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers have a very high risk of breast cancer and ovarian cancer by age 70, at 47-66% and 40-57%, respectively, and of other malignancies, including PC [8]. PC is the third most common cancer associated with BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations [9]. In a prospective study of 5149 female BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, a statistically significant 2.4-fold increase in the incidence of PC was observed. It was calculated that the annual risk of developing PC, for women over 50 years of age with a mutation in either of these genes, is 0.04% [10]. In a retrospective cohort of 5799 families (men/women) from the HBOC group, an increased risk of PC was observed in breast and ovarian cancer patients both carrying BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations. But the elevated risk for PC was also noted in patients with breast cancer with a negative test for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations. In families with *BRCA2* mutations in which one member had an early onset of PC (<50 years of age), the risk of this cancer in subsequent generations was estimated to be 9.9 times higher than that in the general population [11]. It was also observed that *BRCA*-mutated PC occurs later than *BRCA*-mutated breast cancer (age: breast vs. pancreas, 45.0 vs. 53.5 years, p=0.050). Therefore, active genetic testing to identify *BRCA1/2* mutation carriers at the onset of breast cancer and continuous long-term monitoring of these patients can provide opportunities to detect *BRCA*-mutated PC at a resectable stage [12].

Phenotypic variability that depended on the type of BRCA2 mutation and family ethnicity has been documented in a study evaluating the incidence of 7 cancer types in 440 families from various countries [13]. In BRCA2 mutation families of Polish ancestry (n=26), a lower incidence of PC was observed than in families of other ethnicities (n=356). The association of BRCA2 germline mutations with HPC is well established, but the role of BRCA1 mutations is less clear. Some authors [14] have shown that the germline *BRCA1* mutations probably predisposes patients to the development of PC, while others have argued for the absence of such a relationship [15, 16]. In a study of 2167 patients with metastatic PC from 3 countries, the presence of germline BRCA1 and/ or BRCA2 mutations was found in 9.5% of US, 7.6% of French and 7.4% of Israeli individuals [17]. Patients with BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 mutations are slightly younger (57.9 vs 61.1 years) and more likely to have early-onset PC than patients without known BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 mutations. The reported prevalence of newly identified BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 mutations seem to be highest in

Table F European registry of herealtary participations and familiar participation called entering	Table 1	European	registry	of hereditary	/ pancreatitis a	and familial	pancreatic cancer	criteria [
---	---------	----------	----------	---------------	------------------	--------------	-------------------	------------

EUROPAC criteria	
Criterion 1	≥2 first-degree relatives with PC
Criterion 2	\geq 3 relatives with pancreatic cancer
Criterion 3	Possible associated cancer syndrome (defined as sub-criteria below) in addition to the case of PC being studied
Criterion 3.a: HBOC	Personal/family history (≥1 first/second-degree relatives) of breast/ovarian cancer
Criterion 3.b: FAMMM	Personal/family history of melanoma in \geq 1 first/second degree relative AND a high total body naevi count (often > 50)
Criterion 3.c: LS	Personal/family history (≥1 first/second-degree relatives) of a LS-associated cancer (such as colorectal, endometrial, small bowel, renal)
Criterion 3.d: PJS	Oral/mucous membrane pigmentation $+/-$ a personal/family history (\geq 1 first/second-degree relatives) of gastroin-testinal cancers in first/second

Abbreviations:

EUROPAC European Registry of Hereditary Pancreatitis and Familial Pancreatic Cancer

FAMMM Familial atypical multiple mole melanoma

HBOC Hereditary breast-ovarian cancer syndrome

LS Lynch syndrome

PC Pancreatic cancer

PJS Peutz-Jeghers syndrome

African-American patients (10.7%) compared to Caucasian (6.1%), Asian (5.0%) and other (1.6%) patients. The impact of germline BRCA mutation on the survival of PC patients remains a subject of research. Positive results have been reported with the use of targeted therapies, particularly poly-(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors, in BRCA-mutated ovarian and breast cancers, and their use is currently being investigated in germline-mutated PC [18]. Recently, the PALB2 (partner and localizer of BRCA2) gene, which product, together with that of the BRCA2 gene, is involved in DNA double strand break repair, has also attracted the attention of researchers. The loss of function of both alleles of this gene leads to Fanconi anemia, and the lack of function of one allele results in a higher incidence of cancers, especially breast cancer [19].

Germline mutations in *PALB2* have been identified in approximately 1–2% of familial breast cancer cases and 3–4% of HPC cases [20]. *PALB2* mutation analysis in 94 breast cancer patients without *BRCA1/2* mutations who had a family or personal history of PC showed a prevalence of 2.1% [20].

In summary, PC in HBOC is diagnosed later than breast or ovarian cancers, which gives opportunity to successful screening. Precise genetic testing may improve risk stratification and the detection of mutation may increase the number of effective, novel drugs that may be used in the therapy.

Familial atypical multiple mole-melanoma (FAMMM)

Approximately 5–10% of cutaneous melanoma cases occur in families with an inherited predisposition [21]. In 1991, Lynch and Fusaro described the association between familial melanoma multiforme and PC [22]. It has been estimated that individuals from families affected by FAMMM syndrome have a 13–22-fold increased risk of developing PC compared to the general population [23].

A study [24] of the Melanoma Genetics Consortium (GenoMEL) showed that the presence of PC in individuals with familial melanoma is a strong predictor of a pathogenic *CDKN2A* variant. In the above-mentioned study, 190 out of 466 (41%) families had mutations in either *CDKN2A* or *CDK4*. Those included p16 protein mutations in 178 families, *CDK4* mutations in 5 families and *ARF* mutations 7 families. Among 66 families with familial melanoma in which PC occurred, as many as 49 (74%) had a *CDKN2A* mutation. No *ARF* or *CDK4* mutations were reported in this group.

The risk of PC is particularly increased in Dutch families with a *CDKN2A* mutation variant known as p16-Leiden, in which there is a deletion of 19 base pairs (c. 225_243 del19). In a study of 19 families with this

specific mutation, PC was observed in 7. It has been estimated that 1 in 6 carriers (17%) of the p16-Leiden mutation will develop PC by 75 years of age [25].

It has been shown that there is a high risk of developing multiple PC tumors in carriers of the *CDKN2A*-p16-Leiden mutation. Therefore, after the detection of a primary tumor in patients with this mutation, it becomes very important to exclude the presence of a second synchronous tumor, and strict monitoring is necessary after partial pancreatectomy. Considering these findings, a total pancreatectomy offered to patients with early PC may be an appropriate treatment option in this group [26].

Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS)

Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is a rare hereditary condition characterized by mucocutaneous pigmentation and Peutz-Jeghers hamartomatous polyps, predominantly affecting the small intestine [27]. Most patients who meet the clinical diagnostic criteria for PJS have mutations in the *STK11/LKB1* gene. Its consequence is an individual predisposition to the development of many cancers, including breast, colorectal, pancreatic, gastric, small intestinal and cancers of the reproductive organs [28]. PC is the third most common cancer in patients with PJS, with a lifetime risk of 11–55% [27].

In a large homogeneous cohort involving 119 patients with PJS ascertained in sixteen different Italian centers, it was observed that the relative risk (RR) of PC development is the largest among all other neoplasms and reaches 139.7 (Cl 61.1–276.4). The cumulative risk of developing a PC is 2, 4.5, 18 and 55% at 40, 50, 60 and 65 years of age, respectively [29]. Interestingly, none of the PJS patients from Korea (n=30) [30] or Japan (n=14) [31] had PC.

Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome)

Patients with Lynch syndrome (LS) are at increased risk of developing many cancers, predominantly colorectal and endometrial cancer. Other cancers, although less frequently, may also occur. It is an autosomal dominant inherited disease that is characterized by the presence of mutations in the genes involved in the processes of repair of mismatched DNA base pairs (MMR mismatch repair genes): MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2 or deletions in EpCAM [32]. Initial data on the prevalence of EpCAM deletions as a cause of LS suggested a causative role in up to 2.8% of subjects [33]. Recent studies show that one of the *EpCAM* deletions (i.e. $c.858 + 2478_{4507}$ del that was found in the Polish population [34] may occur in a comparable number to *MLH1* (e.g., c. 2041G > A) and MSH2 (e.g. c.942+3A > T) mutations [35]. LS may be suspected by meeting the Amsterdam I or less strict Amsterdam II criteria and the Bethesda guidelines, but currently the diagnosis must be confirmed by a detection germline mutations or deletions [36].

The cumulative risk of PC in LS patients is approximately 3.7%, which translates into an 8.6-fold increase in the risk of development of PC compared to the general population [37]. Tumors seen in the course of disease often have characteristic medullary appearance with significant lymphocytic infiltration.

Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP)

FAP is an autosomal dominantly inherited syndrome with a germline mutation in the *APC* gene. The clinical manifestations of the mutation are multiple (>100, usually hundreds or thousands) adenomatous polyps within the colon. Polyps may also appear in other parts of the gastrointestinal tract, e.g., in the stomach, duodenum, small intestine and biliary tract [38].

In earlier studies, the risk of PC in individuals with FAP was estimated to be four times higher than in the general population. The absolute lifetime risk of its occurrence was estimated at 2% [39]. Currently, due to the rare occurrence of tumors of the exocrine part of the pancreas in FAP patients, their genetic association is becoming dubious [38]. However, it should be kept in mind that almost all individuals with FAP develop duodenal polyps, of which 4–18% will progress to cancer by 75 years of age [40, 41]. Currently, prophylactic endoscopic polypectomy is a relatively safe and effective procedure to prevent the development of cancer in the duodenum, including the periampullary region directly associated with the head of the pancreas [42].

Hereditary pancreatitis (HP)

Hereditary pancreatitis (HP) has been defined as pancreatitis occurring in 2 or more individuals in a family for 2 or more generations or pancreatitis associated with a mutation in the cationic trypsinogen *PRSS1* (protease serine 1) gene. It is an autosomal dominant disease. In most cases, it manifests with acute pancreatitis in childhood or chronic pancreatitis in early adolescence. In addition to PRSS1 gene mutations, mutations of the serine protease inhibitor Kazal type 1 (SPINK1) and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) genes are factors that cause or modify the course of the disease [43]. In a study carried out in 87 patients with HP, a specific gene mutation was found in 54 (62%) cases. The majority had defective PRSS1 gene (34 patients). Nevertheless, SPINK1 and CFTR mutations were also common (detected in 14 and 15 patients, respectively) [44].

Data on *SPINK1* mutations that lead to pancreatitis have shown inconsistent results on risk of PC. In a large Chinese cohort study, there was no relation between mutation in SPINK1 and PC occurrence [Cox HR=0.39 (0.14–1.04); *p*=0.059] [45]. On the other hand, in a European study a mutation in SPINK1 gene was associated with a 12-fold increase in the risk of PC [Cox HR 12.0 (3.0-47.8), p < 0.001 [46]. The reason for its discrepancy is not fully understood. One of the explanations might be different origins of study populations (i.e. Asians and Caucasians) that were evaluated in the studies. Furthermore, the mutations that were detected in those trials were different, which may suggest that certain variants are more likely to cause PC (e.g., c.101A>G), whereas others (e.g., c.194+2T>C) lead rather to pancreatitis. Recent analyses from UK Biobank have not indicated increased PC risk in patients with the most common CFTR (c.1521_1523delCTT) mutation [OR=1.2 (0.85-1.64) p = 0.26 [47]. The area requires further research, because even large scale meta-analyses provide conflicting results in that area showing modest increase (OR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.07–1.84; P=0.013) in the risk of PC in CFTR mutation carries, whereas no association between PC and SPINK1 mutations (OR, 1.52; 95% CI, 0.67-3.45; P = 0.315) [48].

In a study conducted by EUROPAC (European Registry of Hereditary Pancreatitis and Pancreatic Cancer) in 2004, which included 112 families with HP from 14 countries, mutations in *PRSS1* gene were found in 81% of families included in the analysis. The cumulative risk of developing PC by 70 years of age after the onset of the disease is 44%, and the standardized incidence rate is 67% [49].

Recent studies of HP patients in the United States of America (US) [50] and Japan [51] have confirmed the high risk of PC in this group, but it was much lower than that in previous reports. The cumulative risk of cancer by the age of 70 in HP patients was only 7.2% in the US study and 22.8% in the Japanese study. According to the authors, explanations for this discrepancy in the results between the studies may include the different number of patients in the two studies who lived to 70 years of age, referral bias, a larger number of mutations related to HP that were previously unknown, and an increased number of tobacco smokers and a lifestyle modification associated with it. Tobacco smoking accelerates the development of PC by two decades, while alcohol remains only a risk factor for the development of pancreatitis [52]. As a preventive measure of PC in patients with HP-induced chronic pancreatitis presenting with chronic pain, some have advocated for early total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplantation [53].

Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS)

LFS is a result of a germline autosomal dominant *TP53* gene mutation. Lack of *TP53* suppressor gene leads to the

development of various neoplasm, typically: sarcomas, breast cancer, leukemia, adrenocortical cancer and brain tumors [54]. However, since the original observations it has been noted that several other cancers tend to occur more often in patients suffering from LFS. The risk of PC was also found to be elevated in LFS [55] and it was calculated that compared to subjects without *TP53* mutations, patients with positive *TP53* mutation have 7.3-fold increased risk in PC development [54].

The course of screening routine for PC in patients with LFS has not been established. It is considered that the introduction of screening in patients above 50 years of age may be a viable option, especially in the setting of history of PC in 1st or 2nd degree relative [56].

Ataxia telangiectasia (AT)

Ataxia-telangiectasia is an autosomal recessive disease, which is a result of ATM gene mutations [57]. ATM is a serine/threonine kinase that phosphorylates p53 (a product of TP53 gene). Lack of its function impairs the activity of p53. It is a rare condition, with worldwide occurrence between 1:40,000 and 1:300,000. The clinical picture consists of increased rates of cancers, immunological deficits and cerebellar dysfunction. According to recent clinical data, PC risk is more than 4 times higher even in heterozygous carriers of ATM gene mutation than in control subjects [OR 4.21 (3.24–5.47); *p* < 0.0001] [58]. With the advent of new diagnostic methods (e.g., whole genome and exome sequencing) 4-9% of ductal PCs were found to have mutations in ATM gene [59]. Despite that increased risk, there are no specific recommendations on screening for PC in patients with AT or ATM mutation carriers.

Werner's syndrome (WS)

WS is a rare (1:100,000) autosomal recessive disease resulting from loss-of-function of *WRN* gene [60]. Physiologically, *WRN* expression leads to increased activity of p53 and cell cycle arrest via p21CDKN1A and its absence may be responsible for accelerated carcinogenesis. The data on the incidence of PC in WS are scarce. There are several case reports describing aggressive clinical courses of the disease [61]. Additionally, it was noted that patients with WS develop PC earlier (52.3 \pm 9 years) than patients with sporadic PC.

Familial pancreatic cancer (FPC)

FPC is diagnosed when a family has 2 or more cases of PC in first-degree relatives, while no other hereditary cancer syndrome is observed [62]. The first reports on the increased risk of PC in relatives of people who already had this cancer were published in the 1970s. These observations were followed by several case-control and cohort

studies, in which the risk of PC was estimated to be 2 to 5 times higher than in the general population, depending on the number of family members with this cancer [4]. These publications led to the foundation of a registry of families affected by PC in the USA [National Familial Pancreas Tumor Registry (NFPTR), Johns Hopkins] [63], Europe [European Registry of Familial Pancreatic Cancer and Hereditary Pancreatitis (EUROPAC)] [64] and several other developed countries, including Germany [65] or Italy [66]. Observations made by researchers at the NFPTR [63] showed a 9-fold increased risk of PC development in individuals who met the FPC criteria compared to a 1.8-fold increased risk in individuals from families with sporadic PC. The risk of developing PC in FPC increases depending on the number of affected family members. It is estimated that the risk varies from 4.6fold for 2 PC cases in a family to 32-fold for 3 PC cases in a family. The lifetime risk of developing PC in FPC individuals is estimated at 18-38%. However, in a Danish national cohort of 27 families with FPC the risk of PC was estimated at 51%, which clearly emphasizes the contribution of genetic and environmental factors to the development of this cancer [67]. Similarly to cases of sporadic PC, in FPC individuals, exposure to tobacco smoke (both active and passive) was found to be the main modifiable risk factor for this cancer, leading to its earlier development [68]. Newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus is also a significant risk factor for cancer development in FPC families. In a study on a Japanese population [69], it was shown that the presence of 2 risk factors, smoking and diabetes mellitus, in an FPC individual increases the risk of PC by up to 10 times.

In FPC, cancer tends to occur more frequently in younger age groups than in the sporadic form. Importantly, the risk of developing PC also increases with the decreasing age of onset of the cancer in subsequent generations [70]. After a review of the genealogy of 106 families meeting the FPC criteria, it was found that from one generation to the next, the age of death from PC of successive descendants of these families is lower and the prognosis is worse because of the more aggressive form of the cancer. Offspring of parents in the FPC group died 10 years earlier as a result of PC. With this observation, it is now possible to predict the age of cancer onset in subsequent generations of members of such families [71].

Based on a detailed analysis of FPC group data, the first model for predicting its risk in subsequent family members (PancPRO) was developed [72]. As many as 80–90% of the genetic events leading to FPC remain unknown, and only 10–20% have a uniquely identifiable germline mutation. This makes it difficult to properly distinguish FPC from apparently sporadic ductal adenocarcinoma. In particular, inheritance can often be attributed to germline mutations of DNA repair and damage response genes and mutations in other classical cancer susceptibility genes, e.g., *CDKN2A* or *TP53* [73].

A large cohort (n=515) developed by the Pancreatic Cancer Genetic Epidemiology (PACGENE) multicenter consortium has provided a comprehensive analysis of germline mutations occurring in four genes, BRCA1 (1.2%), BRCA2 (3.7%), PALB2 (0.6%), and CDKN2A (2.5%), among familial pancreatic cancer probands [74]. Most recent results from German National Case Collection for Familial Pancreatic Cancer (FaPaCa) show that an identifiable potentially culpable germline mutation was found in 16.6% of patients with FPC. The incidence was the highest for BRCA2 mutations, which was diagnosed in 6% of families [75]. A study conducted in Poland [76] has also revealed that founder mutations in the BRCA1, PALB2, and CHEK2 genes are present in a small proportion of PC patients from families diagnosed with FPC. Furthermore, in the same research site it was shown that one of the founder mutation (c.657_661del) in NBS1 was associated with nearly fourfold odds ratio [OR = 3.8 (1.68-8.6) 95% CI] for the incidence of PC in a large cohort of patients with PC [77]. As a result, it was determined that only small proportion of FPC is a resultant of high penetrance mutations in genes connected also with typical hereditary PC (e.g. BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2 and CDKN2A). That gave rise to further studies (e.g. the PANcreatic Disease ReseArch – PANDoRA) on the connection between FPC and more common gene variants, but with low penetrance, i.e. genome-wide association studies [78].

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS)

In order to identify genetic background for PC, another approach has been introduced in the twenty-first century [79]. Due to increased yield in genetic testing, a concept of analyzing multiple (up to several millions) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) scattered in the whole genome or only in exosomes in a case-control study was introduced. Those studies provided some interesting results. Around 50 specific loci were identified as connected with the increased rate of PC, but the strength of this relation in a single SNP is low (OR rarely is higher than 1.5) [79]. Therefore, single SNP variation is not powered enough to become a marker of PC. Examples of loci associated with the highest association with the risk of PC in Caucasian and Asian populations have been shown in Table 2 [80-84]. The majority of data comes from retrospective analyses and they lack prospective validation. Further studies are warranted to provide as much clinical and genomic data as possible. Nevertheless, SNP seems to be very promising element of combined or multigenic risk scores [85]. Compared to clinical markers only, the inclusion of genetic-based factors led to significant increase in area under ROC in the 10-year risk estimation model (0.61 vs. 0.67, $p = 2.91 \times 10^{-7}$) [86].

Preventive regimens in HPC and FPC

Due to the lack of impact on population mortality or cost-effectiveness, there are no recommendations to support PC screening in the general population. This screening is currently recommended if the lifetime risk of PC is >5% [87]. Historically, the screening program has targeted individuals who are at more than 10 times the risk of developing PC compared with the general population. This risk level has generally been seen in family members with \geq 3 first-degree relatives with PC, individuals with FAMMM (p16 mutations), PJS, and hereditary pancreatitis [88].

In 2011, a 49-member multidisciplinary international expert consortium CAPS (International Cancer of the Pancreas Screening) met for the first time to discuss PC screening [89]. In 2018, CAPS [90] presented updated recommendations for PC screening. In the document

Table 2 Loci associated with the risk of development of PC [80-84]

	ated with the lisk of development			
Chromosome	Gene	SNP	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
Caucasians				
16q23.1	BCAR1/CTRB1/CTRB2	rs7190458	1.46 (1.3–1.65)	1.1×10^{-10}
1p36.33	NOC2L	rs13303010	1.26 (1.19–1.35)	8.00×10^{-14}
7q32.3	LINC-PINT	rs6971499	0.79 (0.74–0.84)	3.00×10^{-12}
1q32.1	NR5A2	rs3790844	0.77 (0.71–0.84)	2.45×10^{-10}
Asians				
2p24.1	APOB	rs183117027	2.34 (1.72–3.16)	4.21×10^{-08}
8p21.3	DOK2	rs2242241	1.85 (1.50–2.27)	4.34×10^{-09}
19p13.12	PKN1	rs34309238	1.77 (1.48–2.12)	5.25×10^{-10}
16p12.3	GP2	rs78193826	1.46 (1.29–1.66)	4.29×10^{-09}

experts underlined the importance of early detection of PCs. Therefore, it is crucial to single out individuals at the highest risk of carcinogenesis. Pancreatic surveillance should be performed in a research setting, including experienced multidisciplinary staff. Patients with *LKB1/STK11* or *CDKN2A* mutations should be screened irrespectively of family history toward PC. In case of other HPC (*BRCA2, BRCA1, PALB2, ATM, MLH1, MSH2,* or *MSH6*) and familial PC, screening should be introduced in patients with the history of at least one first-degree relative with diagnosed PC. The screening should start no earlier than 50 years of age in FPC, 40 years of age in case of PJS and FAMMM. Patients with

other detected mutations should be screened around 45 years of age. Importantly, regardless of genetic background, the screening should be started 10 years earlier than the youngest affected blood relative. As an imaging modality authors suggested magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), which should be repeated annually. Summary of recommendations is presented in Table 3.

In 2019, the Italian Association for the Study of the Pancreas (AISP) published modified surveillance criteria for FPC and HPC individuals included in the Italian Registry of Families at Risk of Pancreatic Cancer (IRFARPC), established in 2015 [66]. Recently, updated American

 Table 3
 Summary of the main recommendations of the 2019 International Cancer of the Pancreas Surveillance (CAPS) Consortium

 [90]

Target population		
Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (carriers of a germline <i>LKB1/S</i> Germline <i>CDKN2A</i> mutation Germline <i>BRCA2</i> , <i>BRCA1</i> , <i>PALB2</i> , <i>ATM</i> , <i>MLH1</i> , <i>MSH2</i> , or <i>N</i> Individuals who have at least one first-degree relative	<i>TK11</i> gene mutation) <i>ISH6</i> gene mutation with at least one aff with PC who in turn also has a first-degr	ected first-degree blood relative ee relative with PC (FPC kindred)
The onset of screening		
FPC kindred (without a known germline mutation)	Start at age 50 or 55 or 10 years youn	ger than youngest affected blood relative
Mutation carriers: for CDKN2A, Peutz-Jeghers syn- drome,	Start at age 40 or 10 years younger th	nan the youngest affected blood relative
Mutation carriers: for BRCA2, ATM, PALB2, BRCA1, MLH1/MSH2	Start at age 45 or 50 or 10 years youn	ger than the youngest affected blood relative
Method of screening		
At baseline	MRI/MRCP + EUS + fasting blood glu	ucose and/or HbA1c
Follow-up Alternate MRI/MRCP and EUS (no consensus if and how to alternate)		
	Routinely test fasting blood glucose a	and/or HbA1c
On indication	Serum CA 19–9	If suspected features on imaging
	EUS-FNA only	Solid lesions of ≥5 mm
		Cystic lesions with suspected features
		Asymptomatic MPD strictures (regardless of tumor presence)
	CT only	Solid lesions, regardless of size
		Asymptomatic MPD strictures of unknown etiology (without tumor)
Intervals		
12 months	If no abnormalities, or only non-conc suspected features)	erning abnormalities (e.g., pancreatic cysts without
3 or 6 months	If suspected abnormalities for which	immediate surgery is not indicated
Abbreviations		
CA19–9 Carbohydrate antigen 19–9		
CT Computer tomography		
EUS Endoscopic ultrasound		
FNA Fine-needle aspiration		
FPC Familial pancreatic cancer		
MPD Main pancreatic duct		
MRCP Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography		
MRI Magnetic resonance imaging		
PC Pancreatic cancer		

Gastroenterological Association (AGA) guidelines for PC screening indications in high-risk individuals have been published in 2020 [91]. A comparative summary of the major recommendations of the 3 organizations CAPS, AGA, and AISP is presented in Table 4. Compared to the CAPS, the difference in the AISP and AGA recommendations is the inclusion of individuals with hereditary pancreatitis to the screening group. Furthermore, AISP recommendations are similar to CAPS, but patients with

Table 4 Summary of the main recommendations on screening for PC (AISP, CAPS, AGA) [66, 90, 91]

	CAPS	AGA	AISP
Target population			
PJS (LKB1/STK11)	+	+	+
FAMMM (CDKN2A)	+	+	+
HBOC (BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2)	+	+	+
LS (MLH1, MSH2, or MSH6)	+	+	+
AT (ATM)	+	+	-
FPC	+	+	+
HP (PRSS1, SPINK1, PRSS2)	?	+	+
The onset of screening (patients' a	ge)		
PJS	40	35	30
FAMMM	40	40	30
HBOC	45 or 55 ^a	50 ^a	40 ^b
LS	45 or 55 ^a	50 ^a	40 ^b
AT	45 or 55 ^a	50 ^a	-
FPC	50 or 55 ^a	50 ^a	45 ^a
HP	-	40	40 ^b
Method of screening			
MRI/MRCP	+	+	+
EUS	+	+	+
HbA1c	+		
Intervals			
If no abnormality	12 M	12 M	12 M
If abnormality	3 or 6 M	3 or 6 M	Mf

Abbreviations

CAPS- Cancer of the Pancreas Surveillance Consortium

AGA American Gastroenterological Association

AISP Italian Association for the Study of the Pancreas AISP

PJS Peutz -Jeghers syndrome

FAMMM Familial atypical multiple mole melanoma

HBOC Hereditary breast-ovarian cancer syndrome

LS Lynch syndrome

AT Ataxia-teleangiectasia syndrome

HP Hereditary pancreatitis

FPC Familial pancreatic cancer

MMonths

Mf More frequently in high-risk patients

^a 10 years less than age of the youngest affected family member

^b 5 years less than age of the youngest affected family member

PJS should be screened earlier - around 35 years of age. The scope and frequency of imaging studies are similar in all mentioned-above recommendations. The issue that still requires addressing in the view of the recommendation is their cost-effectiveness. Definitely this area requires further assessment.

The reduction in the incidence of PC should focus on a healthy lifestyle [92] and modifiable risk factors. Cancer prevention [93] and early detection of lesions may be effective in the reduction of mortality caused by PC. In case of HPC and FPC, attention should be given to a thorough physical examination and anamnesis. As a result, high-risk patients may be singled out and undergo screening programs. As mentioned in the introduction, the heritability in PC might be detected in up to 20% of patients. It seems that further studies on the geneticbased mechanisms are necessary to find patients with increased risk of PC. Currently, great effort is put on finding novel loci responsible for an increased PC risk. Further studies should focus on incorporation of results of GWAS studies into multifactorial risk estimations and finally an attempt should be made to validate them in a clinical outcome trial.

Conclusions

In recent years, a large body of evidence has emerged supporting the influence of genetic factors on the development of PC. Selection in the groups of individuals who have a familial predisposition to PC through the development of genetic counseling is crucial in the fight against this cancer. Recent guidelines of scientific societies dealing with PC have selected groups of people who should be periodically screened. Currently, it seems that only increased screening and primary prevention may offer a chance for early cancer detection and effective therapeutic intervention. Nevertheless, the scope, methods used in screening programs and their cost-effectiveness require further analyses.

Abbreviations

AGA: American Gastroenterological Association; AISP: Italian Association for the Study of the Pancreas; AT: Ataxia-teleangiectasia syndrome; ATM: Ataxia telangiectasia mutated; BRCA1: Breast cancer 1; BRCA2: Breast cancer 2; CA19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CAPS: Cancer of the Pancreas Surveillance Consortium; CDKN2A: Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A; CT: Computer tomography; EUS: Endoscopic ultrasound; FAMMM: Familial atypical multiple mole melanoma; FNA: Fine-needle aspiration; FPC: Familial pancreatic cancer; GWAS: Genome-wide association studies: HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c: HBOC: Hereditary breast-ovarian cancer syndrome; HP: Hereditary pancreatitis; HPC: Hereditary pancreatic cancer; LFS: Li-Fraumeni syndrome; LS: Lynch syndrome; M: Months; Mf: More frequently in high-risk patients; MLH1: mutL homolog 1; MPD: Main pancreatic duct; MRCP: Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; MSH2: mutS homolog 2; MSH6: mutS homolog 6; PALB2: Partner and localizer of BRCA2; PC: Pancreatic cancer; PJS: Peutz Jeghers syndrome; STK11: Serine/threonine kinase 11; WS: Werner's syndrome

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Not applicable. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Not applicable.

Funding

No source of funding.

Availability of data and materials Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

I agree for publication of the manuscript.

Competing interests

No conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Medical University of Silesia, Medyków 14, 40-752 Katowice, Poland. ²Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical University of Silesia, Medyków 18, 40-752 Katowice, Poland.

Received: 14 June 2021 Accepted: 30 March 2022 Published online: 27 June 2022

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71:209–49.
- Midha S, Chawla S, Garg PK. Modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors for pancreatic cancer: a review. Cancer Lett. 2016;381:269–77.
- 3. Rawla P, Sunkara T, Gaduputi V. Epidemiology of pancreatic Cancer: global trends, etiology and risk factors. World J Oncol. 2019;10:10–27.
- Llach J, Carballal S, Moreira L. Familial pancreatic Cancer: current perspectives. Cancer Manag Res. 2020;12:743–58.
- Chen F, Childs EJ, Mocci E, Bracci P, Gallinger S, Li D, et al. Analysis of heritability and genetic architecture of pancreatic Cancer: a PanC4 study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2019;28:1238–45.
- Fulton AJ, Lamarca A, Nuttall C, McCallum L, Pihlak R, O'Reilly D, et al. Identification of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma due to inherited mutation: challenges of daily clinical practice. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2019;11:102–16.
- Scully R, Livingston DM. In search of the tumor-suppressor functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Nature. 2000;408:429–32.
- Bayraktar S, Arun B. BRCA mutation genetic testing implications in the United States. Breast. 2017;31:224–32.
- Greer JB, Whitcomb DC. Role of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in pancreatic cancer. Gut. 2007;56:601–5.
- Iqbal J, Ragone A, Lubinski J, Lynch HT, Moller P, Ghadirian P, et al. Hereditary breast Cancer study group. The incidence of pancreatic cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Br J Cancer. 2012;107:2005–9.
- Mocci E, Milne RL, Méndez-Villamil EY, Hopper JL, John EM, Andrulis IL, et al. Risk of pancreatic cancer in breast cancer families from the breast cancer family registry. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2013;22:803–11.
- 12. Kim SH, Hwang HK, Lee WJ, Kang CM. Biological behavior of resected BRCA-mutated pancreatic cancer: comparison with sporadic

pancreatic cancer and other BRCA-related cancers. Pancreatology. 2021;S1424-3903(21):00057.

- Lubinski J, Phelan CM, Ghadirian P, Lynch HT, Garber J, Weber B, et al. Cancer variation associated with the position of the mutation in the BRCA2 gene. Familial Cancer. 2004;3:1–10.
- Al-Sukhni W, Rothenmund H, Borgida AE, Zogopoulos G, O'Shea AM, Pollett A, et al. Germline BRCA1 mutations predispose to pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Hum Genet. 2008;124:271–8.
- Axilbund JE, Argani P, Kamiyama M, Palmisano E, Raben M, Borges M, et al. Absence of germline BRCA1 mutations in familial pancreatic cancer patients. Cancer Biol Ther. 2009;8:131–5.
- Lawniczak M, Gawin A, Białek A, Lubiński J, Starzyńska T. Is there any relationship between BRCA1 gene mutation and pancreatic cancer development? Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2008;118:645–9.
- 17. Golan T, Kindler HL, Park JO, Reni M, Macarulla T, Hammel P, et al. Geographic and ethnic heterogeneity of germline BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation prevalence among patients with metastatic pancreatic Cancer screened for entry into the POLO trial. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38:1442–54.
- Pihlak R, Valle JW, McNamara MG. Germline mutations in pancreatic cancer and potential new therapeutic options. Oncotarget. 2017;8:73240–57.
- Ducy M, Sesma-Sanz L, Guitton-Sert L, Lashgari A, Gao Y, Brahiti N, et al. The tumor suppressor PALB2: inside out. Trends Biochem Sci. 2019;44:226–40.
- Hofstatter EW, Domchek SM, Miron A, Garber J, Wang M, Componeschi K, et al. PALB2 mutations in familial breast and pancreatic cancer. Familial Cancer. 2011;10:225–31.
- Potrony M, Badenas C, Aguilera P, Puig-Butille JA, Carrera C, Malvehy J, et al. Update in genetic susceptibility in melanoma. Ann Transl Med. 2015;3:210.
- Lynch HT, Shaw TG. Familial atypical multiple mole melanoma (FAMMM) syndrome: history, genetics, and heterogeneity. Familial Cancer. 2016;15:487–91.
- 23. Lynch HT, Fusaro RM, Lynch JF, Brand R. Pancreatic cancer and the FAMMM syndrome. Familial Cancer. 2008;7:103–12.
- Goldstein AM, Chan M, Harland M, Gillanders EM, Hayward NK, Avril MF, et al. Melanoma genetics consortium (GenoMEL). High-risk melanoma susceptibility genes and pancreatic cancer, neural system tumors, and uveal melanoma across GenoMEL. Cancer Res. 2006;66:9818–28.
- Vasen HF, Gruis NA, Frants RR, van Der Velden PA, Hille ET, Bergman W. Risk of developing pancreatic cancer in families with familial atypical multiple mole melanoma associated with a specific 19 deletion of p16 (p16-Leiden). Int J Cancer. 2000;87:809–11.
- Ibrahim I, Sibinga Mulder BG, Bonsing B, Morreau H, Farina Sarasqueta A, Inderson A, et al. de Vos Tot Nederveen Cappel W, Wasser M, Vasen HFA. Risk of multiple pancreatic cancers in CDKN2A-p16-Leiden mutation carriers. Eur J Hum Genet. 2018;26:1227–9.
- Wagner A, Aretz S, Auranen A, Bruno MJ, Cavestro GM, Crosbie EJ, et al. The Management of Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome: the European hereditary tumour group (EHTG) guideline. J Clin Med. 2021;10:473.
- Beggs AD, Latchford AR, Vasen HF, Moslein G, Alonso A, Aretz S, et al. Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: a systematic review and recommendations for management. Gut. 2010;59:975–86.
- Resta N, Pierannunzio D, Lenato GM, Stella A, Capocaccia R, Bagnulo R, et al. Cancer risk associated with STK11/LKB1 germline mutations in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome patients: results of an Italian multicenter study. Dig Liver Dis. 2013;45:606–11.
- Choi HS, Park YJ, Youk EG, Yoon KA, Ku JL, Kim NK, et al. Clinical characteristics of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome in Korean polyposis patients. Int J Color Dis. 2000;15:35–8.
- Iwamuro M, Toyokawa T, Moritou Y, Matsueda K, Hori S, Yoshioka M, et al. Peutz-Jeghers syndrome and cancer:a retrospective study in 14 Japanese patients with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Nihon Shokakibyo Gakkai Zasshi. 2019;116:1015–21.
- Maratt JK, Stoffel E. Identification of Lynch syndrome. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2022;32:45–58.
- Kuiper RP, Vissers LE, Venkatachalam R, Bodmer D, Hoenselaar E, Goossens M, et al. Recurrence and variability of germline EPCAM deletions in Lynch syndrome. Hum Mutat. 2011;32:407–14.
- Dymerska D, Gołębiewska K, Kuświk M, Rudnicka H, Scott RJ, Billings R, et al. New EPCAM founder deletion in polish population. Clin Genet. 2017;92:649–53.

- Dymerska D, Kurzawski G, Suchy J, Roomere H, Toome K, Metspalu A, et al. Lynch syndrome mutations shared by the Baltic States and Poland. Clin Genet. 2014;86:190–3.
- Sinicrope FA. Lynch syndrome-associated colorectal Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:764–73.
- Bujanda L, Herreros-Villanueva M. Pancreatic Cancer in Lynch syndrome patients. J Cancer. 2017;8:3667–74.
- Dinarvand P, Davaro EP, Doan JV, Ising ME, Evans NR, Phillips NJ, et al. Familial adenomatous polyposis syndrome: an update and review of Extraintestinal manifestations. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2019;143:1382–98.
- Giardiello FM, Offerhaus GJ, Lee DH, Krush AJ, Tersmette AC, Booker SV, et al. Increased risk of thyroid and pancreatic carcinoma in familial adenomatous polyposis. Gut. 1993;34:1394–6.
- Lepistö A, Kiviluoto T, Halttunen J, Järvinen HJ. Surveillance and treatment of duodenal adenomatosis in familial adenomatous polyposis. Endoscopy. 2009;41:504–9.
- Bülow S, Christensen IJ, Højen H, Björk J, Elmberg M, Järvinen H, et al. Duodenal surveillance improves the prognosis after duodenal cancer in familial adenomatous polyposis. Color Dis. 2012;14:947–52.
- Roos VH, Bastiaansen BA, Kallenberg FGJ, Aelvoet AS, Bossuyt PMM, Fockens P, et al. Endoscopic management of duodenal adenomas in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis. Gastrointest Endosc. 2021;93:457–66.
- Hasan A, Moscoso DI, Kastrinos F. The role of genetics in pancreatitis. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2018;28:587–603.
- Ceppa EP, Pitt HA, Hunter JL, Leys CM, Zyromski NJ, Rescorla FJ, et al. Hereditary pancreatitis: endoscopic and surgical management. J Gastrointest Surg. 2013;17:847–56.
- Ru N, Wu SY, Wang L, Zhu JH, Xu XN, Guo JY, et al. SPINK1 mutations and risk of pancreatic cancer in a Chinese cohort. Pancreatology. 2021;21:848–53.
- Muller N, Sarantitis I, Rouanet M, de Mestier L, Halloran C, Greenhalf W, et al. Natural history of SPINK1 germline mutation related-pancreatitis. EBioMedicine. 2019;48:581–91.
- Shi Z, Wei J, Na R, Resurreccion WK, Zheng SL, Hulick PJ, et al. Cystic fibrosis F508del carriers and cancer risk: results from the UK biobank. Int J Cancer. 2021;148:1658–64.
- Cazacu IM, Farkas N, Garami A, Balaskó M, Mosdósi B, Alizadeh H, et al. Pancreatitis-associated genes and pancreatic Cancer risk: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. Pancreas. 2018;47(9):1078–86.
- Howes N, Lerch MM, Greenhalf W, Stocken DD, Ellis I, Simon P, et al. Clinical and genetic characteristics of hereditary pancreatitis in Europe. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2004;2:252–61.
- Shelton CA, Umapathy C, Stello K, Yadav D, Whitcomb DC. Hereditary pancreatitis in the United States: survival and rates of pancreatic Cancer. Am J Gastroenterol. 2018;113:1376.
- Masamune A, Kikuta K, Hamada S, Nakano E, Kume K, Inui A, et al. Nationwide survey of hereditary pancreatitis in Japan. J Gastroenterol. 2018;53:152–60.
- Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Whitcomb DC. Risk factors for cancer in hereditary pancreatitis. International hereditary pancreatitis study group. Med Clin North Am. 2000;84:565–73.
- 53. Chinnakotla S, Radosevich DM, Dunn TB, Bellin MD, Freeman ML, Schwarzenberg SJ, et al. Long-term outcomes of total pancreatectomy and islet auto transplantation for hereditary/genetic pancreatitis. J Am Coll Surg. 2014;218:530–43.
- Ruijs MW, Verhoef S, Rookus MA, Pruntel R, van der Hout AH, Hogervorst FB, et al. TP53 germline mutation testing in 180 families suspected of Li-Fraumeni syndrome: mutation detection rate and relative frequency of cancers in different familial phenotypes. J Med Genet. 2010;47(6):421–8.
- Guha T, Malkin D. Inherited TP53 mutations and the Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017;7:a026187.
- Das KK, Early D. Pancreatic Cancer screening. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol. 2017;15:562–75.
- Armstrong SA, Schultz CW, Azimi-Sadjadi A, Brody JR, Pishvaian MJ. ATM dysfunction in pancreatic adenocarcinoma and associated therapeutic implications. Mol Cancer Ther. 2019;18:1899–908.
- Hall MJ, Bernhisel R, Hughes E, Larson K, Rosenthal ET, Singh NA, et al. Germline pathogenic variants in the Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) gene are associated with high and moderate risks for multiple cancers. Cancer Prev Res (Phila). 2021;14:433–40.

- Lesueur F, Easton DF, Renault AL, Tavtigian SV, Bernstein JL, Kote-Jarai Z, et al. First international workshop of the ATM and cancer risk group (4–5 December 2019). Fam Cancer. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10689-021-00248-y Epub ahead of print.
- Chun SG, Yee NS. Werner syndrome as a hereditary risk factor for exocrine pancreatic cancer: potential role of WRN in pancreatic tumorigenesis and patient-tailored therapy. Cancer Biol Ther. 2010;10:430–7.
- Chun SG, Yee NS, Holland JM, Shohet RV, Palalay MP, Bryant-Greenwood PK. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma associated with Werner's syndrome (adult-onset progeria). Gastrointest Cancer Res. 2011;4:24–8.
- 62. Benzel J, Fendrich V. Familial Pancreatic Cancer. Oncol Res Treat. 2018;41:611–8.
- 63. Klein AP, Brune KA, Petersen GM, Goggins M, Tersmette AC, Offerhaus GJ, et al. Prospective risk of pancreatic cancer in familial pancreatic cancer kindreds. Cancer Res. 2004;64:2634–8.
- Goebell H, Ammann R, Creutzfeldt W. History of the European pancreatic club: the first 40 years 1965-2005. The development of the European pancreatic club as a scientific society. Pancreatology. 2005;5(Suppl1):1–15.
- Schneider R, Slater EP, Sina M, Habbe N, Fendrich V, Matthäi E, et al. German national case collection for familial pancreatic cancer (FaPaCa): ten years experience. Familial Cancer. 2011;10:323–30.
- Capurso G, Paiella S, Carrara S, Butturini G, Secchettin E, Frulloni L, et al. Italian registry of families at risk of pancreatic cancer: the AISP familial pancreatic Cancer study group. Dig Liver Dis. 2020;52:1126–30.
- 67. Tan M, Brusgaard K, Gerdes A, Mortensen MB, Detlefsen S, Muckadell OBS, et al. Heritability of familial pancreatic cancer in a Danish national family cohort. Research Square. 2021. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-141845/v1.
- Yeo TP, Hruban RH, Brody J, Brune K, Fitzgerald S, Yeo CJ. Assessment of "gene-environment" interaction in cases of familial and sporadic pancreatic cancer. J Gastrointest Surg. 2009;13:1487–94.
- Matsubayashi H, Maeda A, Kanemoto H, Uesaka K, Yamazaki K, Hironaka S, et al. Risk factors of familial pancreatic cancer in Japan: current smoking and recent onset of diabetes. Pancreas. 2011;40:974–8.
- Brune KA, Lau B, Palmisano E, Canto M, Goggins MG, Hruban RH, et al. Importance of age of onset in pancreatic cancer kindreds. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2010;102:119–26.
- McFaul CD, Greenhalf W, Earl J, Howes N, Neoptolemos JP, Kress R, et al. Anticipation in familial pancreatic cancer. Gut. 2006;55:252–8.
- Wang W, Chen S, Brune KA, Hruban RH, Parmigiani G, Klein AP. PancPRO: risk assessment for individuals with a family history of pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:1417–22.
- Perkhofer L, Gout J, Roger E, Kude de Almeida F, Baptista Simões C, Wiesmüller L, et al. DNA damage repair as a target in pancreatic cancer: state-of-the-art and future perspectives. Gut. 2021;70:606–17.
- Zhen DB, Rabe KG, Gallinger S, Syngal S, Schwartz AG, Goggins MG, et al. BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2, and CDKN2A mutations in familial pancreatic cancer: a PACGENE study. Genet Med. 2015;17:569–77.
- Bartsch DK, Matthäi E, Mintziras I, Bauer C, Figiel J, Sina-Boemers M, et al. The German National Case Collection for familial pancreatic carcinoma (FaPaCa)—knowledge gained in 20 years. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2021;118:163–8.
- Lener MR, Kashyap A, Kluźniak W, Cybulski C, Soluch A, Pietrzak S, et al. The prevalence of founder mutations among individuals from families with familial pancreatic Cancer syndrome. Cancer Res Treat. 2017;49:430–6.
- Lener MR, Scott RJ, Kluźniak W, Baszuk P, Cybulski C, Wiechowska-Kozłowska A, et al. Do founder mutations characteristic of some cancer sites also predispose to pancreatic cancer? Int J Cancer. 2016;139:601–6.
- Campa D, Rizzato C, Capurso G, Giese N, Funel N, Greenhalf W, et al. Genetic susceptibility to pancreatic cancer and its functional characterisation: the PANcreatic disease ReseArch (PANDoRA) consortium. Dig Liver Dis. 2013;45:95–9.
- Gentiluomo M, Canzian F, Nicolini A, Gemignani F, Landi S, Campa D. Germline genetic variability in pancreatic cancer risk and prognosis. Semin Cancer Biol. 2020;18:30174–7.
- Wolpin B, Rizzato C, Kraft P, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for pancreatic cancer. Nat Genet. 2014;46:994–1000.

- Klein AP, Wolpin BM, Risch HA, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies five new susceptibility loci for pancreatic cancer. Nat Commun. 2018;9:556.
- Petersen G, Amundadottir L, Fuchs C, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies pancreatic cancer susceptibility loci on chromosomes 13q22.1, 1q32.1 and 5p15.33. Nat Genet. 2010;42:224–8.
- Chang J, Tian J, Zhu Y, et al. Exome-wide analysis identifies three lowfrequency missense variants associated with pancreatic cancer risk in Chinese populations. Nat Commun. 2018;9:3688.
- Lin Y, Nakatochi M, Hosono Y, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis identifies GP2 gene risk variants for pancreatic cancer. Nat Commun. 2020;11:3175.
- Wang XY, Chen HT, Na R, Jiang DK, Lin XL, Yang F, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms based genetic risk score in the prediction of pancreatic cancer risk. World J Gastroenterol. 2020;26:3076–86.
- Kim J, Yuan C, Babic A, Bao Y, Clish CB, Pollak MN, et al. Genetic and circulating biomarker data improve risk prediction for pancreatic Cancer in the general population. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2020;29:999–1008.
- Pereira SP, Oldfield L, Ney A, Hart PA, Keane MG, Pandol SJ, et al. Early detection of pancreatic cancer. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;5:698–710.
- Brand RE, Lerch MM, Rubinstein WS, Neoptolemos JP, Whitcomb DC, Hruban RH, et al. Participants of the fourth international symposium of inherited diseases of the pancreas. Advances in counselling and surveillance of patients at risk for pancreatic cancer. Gut. 2007;56:1460–9.
- Canto MI, Almario JA, Schulick RD, Yeo CJ, Klein A, Blackford A, et al. Risk of neoplastic progression in individuals at high risk for pancreatic Cancer undergoing long-term surveillance. Gastroenterology. 2018;155:740–751. e2.
- Goggins M, Overbeek KA, Brand R, Syngal S, Del Chiaro M, Bartsch DK, et al. International Cancer of the Pancreas Screening (CAPS) consortium. Management of patients with increased risk for familial pancreatic cancer: updated recommendations from the International Cancer of the Pancreas Screening (CAPS) Consortium. Gut. 2020;69:7–17.
- Aslanian HR, Lee JH, Canto MI. AGA clinical practice update on pancreas Cancer screening in high-risk individuals: expert review. Gastroenterology. 2020;159:358–62.
- Naudin S, Viallon V, Hashim D, Freisling H, Jenab M, Weiderpass E, et al. Healthy lifestyle and the risk of pancreatic cancer in the EPIC study. Eur J Epidemiol. 2020;35:975–86.
- Zhang ZQ, Li QJ, Hao FB, Wu YQ, Liu S, Zhong GC. Adherence to the 2018 World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research cancer prevention recommendations and pancreatic cancer incidence and mortality: a prospective cohort study. Cancer Med. 2020;9:6843–53.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

